

# Mandatory SEF Trading Does Not Equal Mandatory Electronic Trading

November 12, 2013

Forgive me if I'm stating the obvious for some of you, but I've had this conversation more than once and felt some clarity was in order here. As we work through the made available to trade (MAT) process, it's important to reemphasize what actually will be required on mandatory SEF trading day 1.

It all comes back to an oldie but goodie: "by any means of interstate commerce." For some reason that legislative passage always makes me think of an 18-wheeler driving down I-95 over the New York/Connecticut line - but I digress.

MATing (is that a new verb?) swaps in round 1 does not mean that suddenly the market must learn how to trade their off-the-run IRS on a central limit order book (CLOB). While CLOB trading will result for a small few contracts out of the gate, the vast majority of MATed swaps trading will occur via RFQ (to 2), on SEF via phone, or off SEF via phone (for block trades). Leaving aside for a moment the operational complexities that come along with implementing a change of this magnitude, and given the various means of interstate commerce permitted, the burden placed on the market by mandatory SEF trading isn't all that bad.

RFQ to two isn't all that different from the phone call price discovery process that go on today. Given fiduciary requirements placed on buy side traders, reaching out to two or more dealers for a price isn't just good practice its required. So instead of placing two phone calls to your favorite dealer friends you can send them each an RFQ (think texting instead of calling). And given all of the available RFQ permutations offered by the SEFs (disclosed, non-disclosed, partially disclosed, etc.) this change isn't really a big deal.

Then there's the phone. Voice trades are completely allowed as long as they follow the same rules as their electronic cousins (two quotes, etc.). There is a but here though - the inter-dealer brokers are the only SEFs with real phone-based SEF trading capacity, and for the most part buy siders (that aren't self-clearing, which none are) can't access their platforms. That takes phone SEF trading off the table for clients. That said, it does seem likely that this will change for at least some IDBs before the first day of mandatory trading.

*(After I put this post online I learned that Javelin does in fact have brokers to facilitate voice trading for clients - a service that apparently has been put to some use already).*

Last but not least we have block trades. There isn't much new to explain here, but the biggest trades that would be the hardest to do on the screen can still be traded off SEF and reported with a delay. So if you have a yard to do - you're good.

What's the point? Firstly, currently reported SEF volumes don't give a feel for e-trading volume without some

close analysis. But more importantly, MATing 12 ½ year interest rate swaps (and the like) for SEF trading isn't as scary as it seems. I feel confident in saying that RFQing the aforementioned IRS would result in quick responses with reasonably tight spreads. That sounds like liquidity to me.

But – as I've stated in previous posts, I'm not the guy who needs to ensure trading and compliance systems are ready to trade the entire curve on day 1. The more and more conversations we have with market participants the more a phased in approach seems the best way to go. Liquid points first (see [trueEX](#) and [Tradeweb](#) MAT applications), then the rest of the curve shortly thereafter (see Javelin MAT). This isn't about who's right or wrong, but how to bring this change to the market with as little disruption to trading as possible.

---

[www.greenwich.com](http://www.greenwich.com) | [ContactUs@greenwich.com](mailto:ContactUs@greenwich.com)

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

## About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit [www.crisil.com](http://www.crisil.com)

## Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and

services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.