


# The Results are In: What parts of the rate curve should be mandated for trading first?

October 20, 2013

Earlier this week the first two made available for trading applications were made to the CFTC starting the clock ticking for mandatory mat chartSEF trading. Those applications started a debate as to exactly how mandatory SEF trading should be phased in. Should day 1 trading mandates only include the most liquid points on the rate curve, or include all interest rate swaps regardless of tenor? I discussed both of these options in some detail in previous posts, and also conducted a somewhat unscientific poll of Kevin on the Street readers as to which approach is best. Given that I do research for a living, I know how to poke holes in research results. That said, let me poke holes in these results before you do. 

- I have no idea of knowing who responded, so we don't know if the clicks were from large influential asset managers or a guy on an island in the south pacific.
- The software said it would stop multiple responses from the same person, but who knows.
- And lastly, the sample size was 99 over 3 days. Now that we have the simple nature of this hand vote behind us

## The Results

The quantitative results came back reflecting my qualitative conversations – the market wants to start off with only the most liquid points on the curve, which equates more or less to the ISDA MAC contracts. Big buy side, G14 sell side, industry associations et al seem to agree this is the way to go. The argument is to only require trading where the most liquidity already exists to ease the move to the screen. I get it – but I don't agree. If the rest of the curve isn't traded very often, than what's the harm in including it in the initial mandatory trading list? RFQ is defined quite well to handle trading in illiquid products. Furthermore, sticking to the entire curve will limit confusion and questions that will inevitably come from a more narrow determination (“which contracts are included again?”).

But...its easy for me to suggest an idealist solution when I'm not the one who actually has to implement the changes on day 1. A conversation with a friend at a small (non-SEF) interdealer broker crystallized the complexities of implementation for me. The CFTC needs to consider three key factors when determining the approach for kicking off mandatory trading:

1. simplicity – it needs to be easy to understand what's in and what's out
2. implementation complexity – but the scope also needs to be realistic, as this change is pretty enormous for the market
3. liquidity – if mandatory trading is rolled out in chunks there is a risk that liquidity will also end up chunky

## Other issues to watch

Another concern with the current proposals – swaps with effective dates in the past are not included. That means if you trade a mandatory for trading par swap today, when you go to unwind it three days later the unwind does not have to occur on a SEF. That doesn't make much sense to me (beyond the “that's how its always been done” argument). If you have to trade to get into a position, you should have to trade to get out of it, even if its off the run. Even the Javelin MAT application covering the whole curve does not include past effective date swaps. Please let me know if you've read this differently.

One last debate that seems to be making the rounds – should strategy trades be explicitly exempt on day 1? Switches, Invoice Spread and other similar trade types would become complicated if one leg was mandated for trading while the other wasn't. But does that then become a loophole?

The CFTC has a tricky one on their hands in. The Chairman is going to be busy right up until his last day...

---

[www.greenwich.com](http://www.greenwich.com) | [ContactUs@greenwich.com](mailto:ContactUs@greenwich.com)

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

### About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit [www.crisil.com](http://www.crisil.com)

### Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All

rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.