

## Washington Might Actually Get it Done

October 19, 2017

Since the passage of Dodd-Frank in 2010, every time a Republican proposed a Dodd-Frank replacement in Congress, or a Republican regulator proposed a new, sensible rule, I barely paid attention. I read the headlines, would sometimes read the highlights, but, by in large, ignored the details.

I don't mean to minimize the work done in Washington. Our lawmakers, regulators and their staff work long, sometimes thankless hours, in hopes of creating a more efficient system.

I also much prefer suggestions for an alternate way forward rather than the complaining and grandstanding which feel much more common these days. Further, the functioning of the U.S. government requires debate between all sides, even if our process makes it easy to predict the outcome based on the number of elected legislators in each of only two parties (because only two opinions exist for these complex issues – but I digress).

A [report issued this month by the U.S. Treasury](#) outlines a laundry list of changes they'd like to see in the oversight of our capital markets. It touches on everything from the JOBS Act to how central clearing impacts bank capital requirements.

For me, the majority of the suggestions feel balanced, reasonable and likely to improve the functioning of the market without adding undesired risk. But based on my pessimistic attitude built up over the past seven years, I quickly go from excitement to disappointment, anticipating that these sensible suggestions will never make it through the labyrinth of Washington.



# Regulatory Bodies Poised to Enact Real Change

The more I speak with market participants and overseers in the know, however, the more I'm convinced it is actually different this time. Congress can't seem to get out of its own way when it comes to financial reform (or any reform for that matter), bogged down not by a lack of good ideas but by the process. This time the regulatory bodies, however, are poised to enact some real change. Not only do they have jurisdiction over much of what the U.S. Treasury is proposing, but they have the wherewithal and, more importantly, the votes to move these changes forward.

For instance, the CFTC could implement changes in uncleared margin rules, remove or set in stone no-action relief granted over the past several years, and limit the impact of swap dealer requirements on non-systematically important market participants.

Similarly, the SEC could ditch the tick pilot, provide clarity on maker-taker pricing, amend the order protection (OPR) rule into one more appropriate for today's market structure, and provide no-action relief on U.S. rules that contradict MiFID II's efforts to unbundle trading and research payments.

The list is much longer than that. And this is a good thing. Even if Congress could find a way to agree on a few things, its place is to look at the issues from a macro level, whereas the regulators are responsible for, and equipped to examine the micro issues.

We shouldn't expect a Senator to have deep knowledge of the math behind SA-CCR and CEM; they should be focusing on economic stability, healthcare and the safety of the United States. The regulatory agencies have the staff with the knowledge to make informed decisions, and finally, those informed decisions can become the rules the market abides by.

So as the European's finally enact their big bang of post-crisis regulations in 2018, the U.S. will be on a productive path towards solidifying its place as the financial capital of the world.

---

[www.greenwich.com](http://www.greenwich.com) | [ContactUs@greenwich.com](mailto:ContactUs@greenwich.com)

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit [www.crisil.com](http://www.crisil.com)

## Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT

OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.